

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/917,058	07/27/2001	Martha M. Murray	18989-001 CIP (BWH-1CIP)		
23628	7590 12/04/2003		EXAMINER		
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			PELLEGRINO	PELLEGRINO, BRIAN E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, M	MA 02210-2211		3738	_	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003	, 19	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	. 1			
Advisory Action	09/917,058	MURRAY ET AL.	Ç _N I			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Brian E Pellegrino	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sh et with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 19 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data was been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaning patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Is sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate extending the final Office action; or	e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) 🔀 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:			•			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: S	or reconsideration has been con ee Continuation Sheet	sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	f to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	nt(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or l vould be rejected is provided be	b)⊡ will be entered low or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	•					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-21</u> .		•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-18 and	22-38 .					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u>16</u> .				
10. Other:	1	Paul Relos				
		Paul B. Prebilic				

C ntinuati n Sh et (PTOL-303) 009/917,058

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims use the language "comprising" which does not limit what the composition includes. Since it known that platelets are complex and include different elements, it can be said that MacPhee satisfies the limitation because the claims do not recite a more specific definition of platelet like "purified" or other forms to define what features of the platelet are important.

2